IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.326 of 2005

Between:

G.Satyanarayana, S/o.Rama Swamy,
R/o.H.No.1-3-71, Kutubba Rao Street,
Four Pillars Centre, Vijayawada, Krishna District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Krishna District, at Machilipatnam.
2 The Municipal Commissioner, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation,

Vijayawada, Krishna District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not removing encroachment on the road margin is arbitrary and illegal and consequently direct the respondents to pass orders on petitioners representation dt.30-09-2004.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.U.RAMANJANEYULU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP for Municipal Administration

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.T.S.VENKATARAMANA

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

The main grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that he made a representation dated 30.09.2004 to the respondents seeking to remove the encroachments made on the road margin by one Rayapudi Vandhanamma causing inconvenience to the public, but so far no action is being taken. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration appearing on behalf of respondent No.1, and learned Standing Counsel for the respondent No.2. With their consent the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

Since the representation of the petitioner dated 30.09.2004 is said to be pending consideration before the respondents, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the same and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the affected parties take appropriate action in the matter in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J)

Date: 20.01.2005

Nsr

To

1 The District Collector, Krishna District, at Machilipatnam.
2 The Municipal Commissioner, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation,

Vijayawada, Krishna District.

3 Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration, High Court of AP,

Hyderabad.

4 Two CD copies.